Volume 5 - Issue 04 - April,2025

SABUJEEMA
An International Multidisciplinary e-Magazine

Article ID: SIMM0527 Popular Article

Membrane Filtration: Heavy Metal Removal and Recent Advancements

Ulaganathan Arisekar
Department of Fish Quality Assurance & Management
Fisheries College and Research Institute
Tamil Nadu Dr. J. Jayalalithaa Fisheries University
Thoothukudi – 628 008, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Membrane Filtration is an efficient, eco-friendly, and sustainable solution for removing heavy metals from water. The process is based on the principles of size exclusion, charge repulsion, and adsorption using various filters. Heavy metals, such as lead, mercury, and arsenic, can be easily removed from industrial effluents and wastewater. Recent technological improvements have made it more cost-effective. Innovations include functionalized metal-organic frameworks, carbon nanotubes, and graphene oxide, which enhance metal absorption and selectivity. Improved thermal stability, fouling resistance, and mechanical strength were observed for the hybrid membranes. Membrane modification techniques such as surface grafting and plasma treatment are also gaining prominence, enabling the targeted removal of specific metals due to advances in 3D printing. The integration of membrane processes with other treatment methods improves efficiency.

Introduction

assessment. The membrane material is the most important parameter affecting membrane performance, for example, water permeation property, porosity, hydrophilicity, surface charge, and thermal/mechanical stability. Membrane materials can be divided into two groups: ceramics and polymers. In general, ceramic membranes' chemical resistance and hydrophobicity make them more suitable than polymeric membranes for the treatment of industrial wastewater. Disadvantages include the fragile nature and exorbitant construction cost; however, ceramic membranes also have these defects.

Reverse Osmosis

Water can flow spontaneously through a semipermeable membrane from a solution with a low solute concentration and low osmotic stress to an answer with high solute attention and high osmotic pressure in osmosis. External strain can be used to stop or reverse the glide of the molecules. Water was forced to float closer to the distinction if the difference was more significant than the osmotic stress difference. This process is referred to as the RO process. The size of the RO membrane was between zero. 1 and 1. 0 nm. These machines are used only to desalinate water. Heavy metal elimination is increasingly performed with the use of RO. This process has many issues, and excessive strength is required to operate it. The size of an RO membrane is typically between 0. 1 and 1 NM. RO is better at removing heavy metal ions and desalinating seawater. Recycling and wastewater treatment experiments were conducted. RO performance depends on several variables. Wastewater was pretreated to remove debris. Because of their characteristics, RO membranes require higher running efficiency. It is dense and lacks transparency.

Deionization by Ion-Exchange Membrane-Based Processes

Electrodialysis (ED), electrode ionization (EDI), and film capacitive deionization (MCDI) are electrically driven film forms that utilize a charged layer for cation/anion division and electrical potential distinction as the driving constraints of ion transport. Based on the settled charge in the film framework, the film can be classified as a cation- or anion-exchange layer. Donnan prohibition causes cation/anion division. The cation-exchange layer (CEM), which has a negative settled charge, permits cations (counterions) to pass through, whereas it dismisses anions (co-ions). On the other hand, anions pass through the anion-exchange membrane (AEM), while cations are rejected because the AEM network contains a positive charge (Hassanvand and Chen, 2017). An electrically driven layer handle delivers both deionized and concentrated streams as a result of this preparation. Because the partition is fuelled by an electrical potential, chemical recovery, as in a conventional ion exchange framework, is no longer in use.

Electrodialysis

ElED is an electromembrane method that transfers ions aided by electricity across the membrane. The ED stack was embedded inside the anionic and cationic change membranes, which could be located between the two electrodes. This allows the anions to skip through the anionic alternate membrane and vice versa. As a result, the aqueous solution flowing into the ED system was partitioned into two categories: pay attention and diluent. ED has numerous benefits including chemical unfastening and excessive water healing. To date, many studies have employed ED for heavy steel removal. Sadyrbaeva et al. (2020) used a liquid membrane integrated with tri-n-octylamine and mixtures of di (2-ethylhexyl) phosphoric acid (D2EHPA) in 1,2-dichloroethane to remove $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ from aqueous solutions. The entire extraction of $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ became 99. 5 % for this observation in the most reliable situations. Chen et al. (2019) designed a -stage ED system to purify $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$. In this designed ED device, the concentration of $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ at 418 mg/L, which existed as $HCrO_4^-$ at a low pH, turned into focus by up to 191 %. during the course of the second level of operation at pH values greater than 8. Fifth, when Cr exists as CrO_4^{2-}, Cr is retained inside the concentrated flow, while other monovalent ions are efficiently eliminated.

Membrane Capacitive Deionization (MCDI)

MCDI drives ionic transport and stores adsorbed ions using an electrical potential difference and porous electrode, respectively. Adsorption occurs when ions are attracted to an electrode by electrostatic forces (Zhang and Danny Reible, 2020). The ionic capacity of the electrode determined the number of adsorbed ions. A high-ion-capacity electrode can store several ions. Freshwater or desalinated water was produced during the adsorption process. Regeneration should be performed when the electrodes reach saturation. This was accomplished by simply reversing the polarity of the electrode. The desorption process generated an effluent with a high ion concentration. The use of IEMs in MCDI prevents co-ion adsorption, which is common in conventional capacitive deionization (CDI). As a result, MCDI typically has better ionic separation and energy efficiency than CDI, resulting in a higher desalination efficiency. Even though MCDI operation appears to be simpler than ED and EDI, a breakthrough may occur due to electrode saturation, resulting in inconsistent product quality. MCDI is commonly used to desalinate brackish water (AlMarzooqi et al., 2014). MCDI is an appealing alternative to the RO system owing to its simple operation, low-pressure operation, and lower energy consumption. Furthermore, selective separation can be accomplished by selecting the appropriate membranes and electrodes. For example, using an asymmetric hydrogenated manganese oxide (HMO)-activated electrode, lithium ions could be separated from a mixture of various ions

Heavy Metal Detoxication by Electrified Membranes (EMS)

Electrochemical reduction is an effective strategy for heavy metal detoxification because it converts high-valence heavy metal ions into low-valence metal species such as metal oxides, ions, and elemental metals. Low-pressure electro-filtration was used to remove low-concentration hexavalent chromium (Cr6 +) from drinking water sources using a CNT-poly (vinyl alcohol) (PVA) composite EM. The results showed that the electrical potential of the membrane surface governed the overall Cr 6 + removal efficiency. Because of surface passivation, the voltages applied to the EM for Cr6+ reduction were higher than the theoretical value (Cr3+/Cr6+, 1.33 V vs. NHE); as a result, 45% and 99% of Cr6+ were removed by applying 3 and 7 V, respectively, implying that thorough Cr6+ removal by EM is accomplished with increased overpotentials.

Conclusion

The membrane separation era indicates super potential for heavy metal ions elimination, amongst which are the 4 membrane strategies mentioned earlier rather than owning their benefits and disadvantages. The MF membrane suggests poor heavy metal rejection owing to its large pore size. The pore length of the UF membrane is considered too large for heavy metal ions. The rejection performance might be improved by deciding on the appropriate polymer/micelle agent, and the coffee working pressure additionally makes the process more feasible. NF has been proven to correctly remove heavy metal ions because of its small membrane pore size. The high operation stress, low water flux, and high energy intake of RO as a result of inner awareness polarization make it most effective for use in drinking water. The running fee of the ED is generally between that of the NF and RO. As a promising approach for treating heavy metallic wastewater, it is essential to fit a suitable electrode and optimize the purification method to enhance its financial feasibility. Furthermore, the overall performance of the membrane is highly dependent on the quantity and length of the pores on the membrane floor, as well as the purposeful agencies at the surface of the area.

Reference

1.Sadyrbaeva, T.Z., 2016. Removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solutions using a novel hybrid liquid membrane—electrodialysis process. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, *99*, pp.183-191.

2. Xin Zhang and Danny Reible.,2020. Exploring the Function of Ion-Exchange Membrane in Membrane Capacitive Deionization (MDPI Processes, 2020).

3. Hassanvand, A., Wei, K., Talebi, S., Chen, G.Q. and Kentish, S.E., 2017. The role of ion exchange membranes in membrane capacitive deionisation. *Membranes*, *7*(3), p.54.

4. AlMarzooqi, F.A., Al Ghaferi, A.A., Saadat, I. and Hilal, N., 2014. Application of capacitive deionisation in water desalination: a review. *desalination*, *342*, pp.3-15.